

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,831	09/22/2005	Roland Frans Cyrille Vanblaere	25943-0004US1	9025
26161 FISH & RICH	7590 04/06/2011 ARDSON P.C. (BO)	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 102	2	JACYNA, J CASIMER		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/06/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/542,831	VANBLAERE ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
J. Casimer Jacyna	3754			

	J. Casimer Jacyna	3754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DAY STATEMENT OF THE MALLI	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim I apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this or O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Feb	bruary 2011.					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This a	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	<i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 and 29-31 is/are pending in the ap	nnlication					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 and 29-31 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
· · ·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		Everniner				
10) The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			ED 1 101/d\			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
 Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bureau 	•	ed in this National	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list o	f the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				

Attaciment(3)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Fatent Drawing Review (PTO-942)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application 	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) U Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/542,831

Art Unit: 3754

 In view of the lack of any art rejection against claims 1, 27 and 31 which are generic to figures 1-5, the restriction requirement of 10/29/2008 is withdrawn.

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filling of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

4. Claims 7, 8, 11, 12, 22, 23, 29 and 30 are provisionally rejected under 35

U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 5, 6, 9, 10, 20, 21, 27 and 28 of copending Application No. 10/542,840. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented. Application '831 claims 1, 27 and 31 are equivalent to '840 claims 1, 25 and 29 with the exception of the '831 claims calling for a "movable wall" and the '840 claims calling for a "flexible wall" in claim 1 and an "expandable wall" in claims 25 and 29. However, the term "movable wall" is a broad generic term that includes expandable and flexible walls. Additionally, since the dependent claims in both applications are identical and further define the walls to be either a balloon, a bellow or a bag, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the walls of either the balloon, the bellows or the bag of '831 claims 5, 6 or 9 are

Application/Control Number: 10/542,831

Art Unit: 3754

also either flexible or expandable as called for in '840 claims 1, 25 or 29 and would also recognize the term "movable" to be a broad generic term that included "flexible" and "expandable" as called for in the '840 application. Therefore, the dependent claims in the '831 application that further define the movable wall to be either a balloon, a bellow or a bag are defining the same invention as the corresponding dependent claims in the '840 application that further define either the flexible or expandable wall to be either a balloon, a bellow or a bag.

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory Application/Control Number: 10/542,831

Art Unit: 3754

double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-27 and 29-31 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 and 27-29 of U.S. Patent
No. 10/842,840. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not
patentably distinct from each other because application '831 claims 1, 27 and 31 are
equivalent to '840 claims 1, 25 and 29 with the exception of the '831 claims calling for a
"movable wall" and the '840 claims calling for a "flexible wall" in claim 1 and an
"expandable wall" in claims 25 and 29. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would
consider the terms "movable wall", "flexible wall" and "expandable wall" to be synonyms
that describe similar and not patentably distinct structures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Casimer Jacyna whose telephone number is 571-272-4889. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,831 Page 5

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. Casimer Jacyna/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3754